

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,500	01/20/2000	Klaus M. Irion	02581-P0204A	4514
7:	590 05/02/2003			
Wesley W Whitmyer Jr			EXAMINER	
St Onge Steward Johnston & Reens LLC 986 Bedford Street			YU, JUSTINE ROMANG	
Stamford, CT	06905-5619		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3764	n /
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ے اسی			
A	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/488,500	IRION, KLAUS M.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit	,,			
	Justine R Yu	3764				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 4/9/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-44.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:			1			
		Justine V.	1			
		Justine R Yu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3764				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added selective criteria for the light source raised new issues that would require further consideration..